

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/533,648	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BLAFFERT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOSE M. TORRES	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After Final

(1) JOSE M. TORRES.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Douglas B. McKnight (Reg. No. 50,447).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 October 2009

**Time:** 2:30pm (EST)

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejection to claim 7

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's Representative approved the suggested amendment to claim 7 in order to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejection. See attached Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew W Johns/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)